DPRK nuclear status

Siegfried S. Hecker Center for International Security and Cooperation Stanford University

Track II China – U.S. Meeting Beijing November 4, 2015

Update of DPRK nuclear progress

- Reactors
 - 5 MWe reactor operating, ELWR close to operation
- Reprocessing facility
 - Remained in stand-by, possible reactivation in 9/15
- Fuel fabrication facility
 - Much expansion since 2009
- Uranium enrichment
 - Apparent expansion, possibly large capacity
- Nuclear test site (Activity, but no signs of new test)
- Missile program (Lots of activity at Sohae)

Update of DPRK nuclear progress

- 1965 1985 Laying the foundation
- 1986 1994 Nuclear weapon option developed
- 1995 2003 Plutonium freeze, HEU hedge
- 2003 2006 Build and test the bomb
- 2007 2008 Disable Pu, continue HEU
- 2009 2010 Test #2, preparing HEU option
- 2010 2015 Test #3 Build up of nuclear arsenal

Estimates of DPRK nuclear program

Nuclear Capability	December 2015 Estimates	December 2016 Estimates
Plutonium	34 – 42 kg	34 – 52 kg
HEU (Highly enriched U)	Possibly 300 kg	Possibly 450 kg
Nuclear tests	3 (possible 4 th	3 or 4
Nuclear weapons	~ 6 Pu + 12 HEU = 18	Possibly 8 Pu + 18 HEU
Long-range rockets	Successful Unha-3 launch (Dec. 2012)	Unha-3 Possibly more tests

Estimates of DPRK nuclear program by 2020

Nuclear Capability	December 2016 Estimates	2020
Plutonium	34 – 52 kg	Possibly 70 kg
HEU (Highly enriched U)	Possibly 450 kg	~150 kg/yr
Nuclear tests	3 or 4	Possibly 4
Nuclear weapons	Possibly 8 Pu + 18 HEU	~10 Pu + 42 HEU
Long-range rockets	Unha-3 Possibly more tests	Musudan or KN-08 tests

Three no's are still a good option

- Essentially no hope of giving up nukes in the near term
- Should we still push for 3 No's in return for 3 Yes's
 - No more bombs
 - Important to stop buildup and diversification
 - No better bombs (no nuclear or missile testing)
 - Important to constrict miniaturization
 - No export
 - New concerns ISIS or others

In return

- Address the North's security concerns
- Provide energy assistance
- Provide economic assistance

Backup

DPRK nuclear program: Rate of growth

Nuclear Capability	January 2003	December 2014
Plutonium	0 to 10 kg	24 to 42 kg
HEU (Highly enriched U)	Likely zero	Possibly 150 kg
Nuclear tests	Zero	3 (possible 4 th)
Nuclear weapons	Likely zero Pu Zero HEU	~ 6 Pu + 6 HEU = 12
Long-range rockets	One failed Taepodong-1 launch (1998)	Successful Unha-3 launch (Dec. 2012)



4 NOV 2011 Source: DigitalGlobe, 38 North















Source: DigitalGlobe/ Google Earth

IHS Jane's Satellite Imagery Analysis

Yongbyon, North Korea

39.796924 N 125.754810 E Image Date: 3 February 2014 / Pleiades Satellite



Turbine waste water outlet

Water intake

Feb. 3, 2014

5 MWe reactor

Spent fuel rod building

Experimental light water reactor

Turbine/generator building

New piping

Experimental light water reactor pump house

Pump house

The new Experimental Light Water Reactor (ELWR) sits on the site of the original 5 MWe reactor's cooling tower. The ELWR's pump house will now serve a secondary cooling system for each reactor.



Image includes material Pleiades © CNES 2015 Distribution Airbus DS / Spot Image, all rights reserved.

IHS Jane's Satellite Imagery Analysis

Yongbyon, North Korea 39.770027 N 125.750307 E

Image Date: 3 February 2014 / Pleiades Satellite



The fuel fabrication facility is the largest of the functional areas in the southern half of the Centre. Visible is a new centrifuge building with an expected capacity of 2,000 centrifuges.



Better bombs? North Korea would require another test



Testing is still an area of restraint

- SCUD (mobile, liquid fueled) 300 600 km
- KN-02 Toksa SRBM (solid fueled, like SS-21)
- Nodong IRBM (mobile, liquid fueled) 1200 1500 km
- 60 II-28 light bombers
- Future: Long-range Taepodong ICBM (based on Unha SLV)
- Road mobile Musudan IRBM
- KN-08 ICBM (~ 9000 km)
- Short-range, sea-based land-attack missiles

Pyongyang's inventory of older liquid-fueled missiles is impressive, but its history shows a striking lack of progress compared to Pakistan and Iran. John Schilling and Henry Kan, US-Korea Institute at SAIS, 2015