North Korean nuclear crisis: What, how, when and why – and what to do now?

Siegfried S. Hecker Center for International Security and Cooperation Stanford University

Bay Area Strategic Engagement Seminar Sandia National Laboratories Livermore October 9, 2017

Is there a North Korea nuclear crisis?



Nov. 10, 2016 briefing: Obama tells Trump North Korea is greatest security threat



UN General Assembly September 19, 2017 "...we will have no choice but to totally destroy North Korea. Rocket man is on a suicide mission" Gen. John Kelly's reaction

"...we will have no choice but to totally destroy North Korea. Rocket man is on a suicide mission" UN General Assembly September 19, 2017

Kim's Reply Sept. 22, 2017





HAIR TRIGGERS

Yes – we have created a political crisis



But, what does the DPRK have?



Most difficult part
Reactors (Pu) or enrichment (HEU)

Hydrogen bombs

- Tritium
- Li-6D

- •Physics, computers
- •High explosives
- Detonators
- Initiators
- Machining
- •Assembly
- Explosives tests
- •Arming, fuzing, firing

- •Plane
- Boat
- •Van
- •Missile

Two paths to the bomb



Hydrogen bomb?

Nuclear materials production



Estimates – how do we know? What is confidence level?

26 SEP 2010

Commercial overhead imagery

Source: DigitalGlobe



24 JUN 2012 Source: GeoEye













Source: DigitalGlobe/ Google Earth

IHS Jane's Satellite Imagery Analysis

Yongbyon, North Korea 39.770027 N 125.750307 E Image Date: 3 February 2014 / Pleiades Satellite

Feb. 3, 2014ç

Rail spur

New steam plant.



Fuel fabrication facility

lew centrifuge buildings

Former fuel rod final assembly

Uranium trioxide to uranium dioxide conversion

Uranium clickide to uranium tetrafluoride to uranium metal conversion

Uranium metallurgy

Hydrogen fluoride production

The fuel fabrication facility is the largest of the functional areas in the southern half of the Centre. Visible is a new centrifuge building with an expected capacity of 2,000 centrifuges.

Looking from the inside

Hecker

Site visits and technical discussions provide valuable information



Jan. 2004 Yongbyon



Aug. 2005 Pyongyang



Nov. 2006 Pyongyang



August 9, 2007, Yongbyon Feb. 14, 2008, Yongbyon Feb. 27, 2009, Pyongyang

The seventh visit brought a big surprise

Purely illustrative - this is not Yongbyon, but close to what we saw (Nov. 12, 2010).

Piketon, Ohio Centrifuge plant, 1984 (Department of Energy)



No outsiders have been in Yongbyon since Nov. 2010

KCNA Publicity – what they show us

Another Kim Jong-un site visit on KCNA in March 2016

Karear Contra TV

Plutonium production in North Korea

- Uranium ore, mining, milling
- Fuel fabrication (U metal fuel)
- Reactor operation (5 MWe)
 Gas Graphite natural U fuel
- Reprocessing (extract Pu)
- Plutonium fabrication/machining

- Capacity < 6 kg/yr (1 bomb)
- Inventory ~ 20 40 kg by 12/2016
- Estimated confidence: High
- Observability: High





What is current centrifuge capacity?

How much imported and how much indigenous?

HEU production

- Uranium ore, mining, milling
- Conversion to UF6
- Centrifuges for enrichment
 - LEU for LW Reactor
 - HEU for bombs
- Uranium metal fabrication/ machining
- Capacity ~ 150 kg/yr (6 bombs)
- Inventory ~ 200-450 kg by 12/2016
- Estimated confidence: Low
- Observability: Very low





Tritium

• Li-6

- Have capability
- Marketing for sale

Tritium production

- IRT-2000 Reactor (unlikely)
- 5 MWe Reactor (likely)
- ELWR (future?)
- Tritium extraction
 - Hot cells at IRT-2000 site
 - New construction (likely)

Li-6D (lithium deuteride)

- Capacity ~ Likely small for now
- Inventory ~ Not known
- Estimated confidence: Very low
- Observability: Very low



ELWR for future?



New hot cells?

Weaponization



Weaponization



We have few details, but – Bottom line is they conducted 6 nuclear tests

Nuclear tests critical to sophistication

- Oct. 9, 2006: Close to1 kiloton
 Likely Pu
- May 25, 2009: ~ 2 to 7 kilotons
 - Likely Pu
- Feb. 12, 2013: ~ 7 to 14 kilotons
 Either Pu or HEU
- Jan. 6, 2016 deeper than others, ~ 7 to 14 kilotons
 Either Pu or HEU. Claim of H bomb not likely.
- Sept. 9, 2016 ~ 15 to 25 kilotons
 - Likely made progress in miniaturization
- Sept. 3, 2017 > 100 kilotons, possibly 250 kilotons

March 2016 Kim with "disco ball"



KCNA claim of hydrogen bomb a few hours before test



September 3, 2017 nuclear test



September 3, 2017 nuclear test



September 3, 2017 nuclear test

Ri Hong-sop: Director of Nuclear Weapons Institute



Will the tested device fit into DPRK missiles?

We do not know if they tested the device shown

Possible for the short-range; more difficult for ICBM

 Much work remains to be done to survive the launch-flight-reentry requirements for ICBMs

More missile tests and nuclear tests will be required

Delivery systems



Missile Names, Ranges and Coverage

NORTH KOREA'S BALLISTIC MISSIL

200km

N-11 (sub launched

-15 1.200km

WASONG-6 | 500km

-02 | 150km

NASONG-7 | 800km

HWASONG-13 (KN-08/14)* 8.000km

4,000/km

USUDAN BM-25

D-DONG | 1.500km

4,500km

IWASONG-12

HWASONG-14 | 10,000+km

North Korea's ballistic missile program is one of the most rapidly developing threats to global security. In recent years, an unprecedented pace of missile testing has included new and longer range missiles, sea-launches, and the orbiting of satellites. The most notable of these advances is North Korea's development of a new intercontinental ballistic missile, the Hwasong-14, which can likely reach the continental United States.

CSIS

July 4, 2017 Hwasong-14 ICBM-capable



"We will launch from anywhere at any time" Kim Jong-un (2017)

Estimates of DPRK nuclear program

Nuclear Capability	January 2003	September 2017 Rough estimates
Plutonium	0 to 10 kg	20 to 40 kg
HEU (Highly enriched U)	Likely zero	Possibly 250-500 kg Possibly 150 kg/yr
Nuclear tests	Zero	6
Nuclear weapons	Likely zero Pu Zero HEU	Possibly material for 25-30 bombs
Rockets	One failed Taepodong-1 launch (1998)	Significant progress in 2016 & 2017 HW-12 and HW-14

How did North Korea build the bomb?

Kim II-sung

- Soviet Atoms for Peace
- Indigenous reactor program
- Built the option for the bomb
- Agreed to freeze program in 1994

Kim Jong-il

- Likely built the bomb in 2003
- Signed denuclearization deal 2005
- Continued with bomb, tested 2006, 2009

Kim Jong-un

- 2012, first successful space launch, increased missile launches
- Third, fourth, fifth and sixth nuclear tests, 2013 through 2017
- Nukes in constitution, threatened to nuke US and the South



How did North Korea build the bomb?

- Early Soviet assistance with civilian technologies
 - Education and IRT-2000 research reactor
- Reverse engineering and technical competence
- International black market
 - Europe, Russia, A.Q. Khan, China
- Indigenous engineering and manufacturing
 - Remarkable resilience

No direct assistance from Russian or Chinese governments

Masters of reverse engineering

Mol - Belgium

Pu Reprocessing Plant



SCUD missiles Soviet Union

> Bomb design A.Q. Khan – CHIC-4?

Extensive Foreign Procurement Network Centrifuges (non-Pakistani supply) – D. Albright (ISIS)

- Materials: Aluminum tubes (low strength for outer casings), ring magnets for use in a centrifuge upper bearing, epoxy resins used in assembling centrifuge parts (sold commercially as Araldite), raw materials and additive alloys;
- Vacuum Equipment: A range of equipment important to operating centrifuges individually or in cascades, such as vacuum pumps, valves, specialized uranium hexafluoride resistant oils. Also pressure transducers, which are used to measure the vacuum pressure in individual centrifuges and cascades;
- Other Equipment: Uranium hexafluoride cylinders, uranium hexafluoride flow meter, He leak detectors, and whole frequency converters and their key subcomponents, implying that North Korea assembles frequency converters from subcomponents purchased abroad. Also computerized control equipment, including software and updates, used to run a plant composed of centrifuge cascades. (The equipment is the same as that acquired by Iran to control its centrifuges.)
- **Manufacturing Equipment:** Flow-forming machine usable to make centrifuge rotors. an electron beam welder for centrifuge assembly, equipment to make ring magnets. State-of-the-art computer numerically controlled (CNC) machines for making centrifuge parts, and measuring equipment;
- **Spare parts** for centrifuge-related equipment.

Indigenous training, design, production and testing



"Working Notes" - with running annotations of the buildings within the Fuel Fabrication Facility / Fuel Enrichment Plant at Yongbyon

When did North Korea build the bomb?

- 1965 1985 Built the foundation (education, research)
- 1986 1994 Nuclear weapon option developed
- 1995 2003 Plutonium freeze (AF), HEU hedge
- 2003 2006 Built and tested the bomb (Test #1)
- 2007 2008 Disabled Pu complex, continued HEU
- 2009 2010 Test #2, also revealed HEU option

 2010 – 2017 Test #3, 4, 5 & 6 expanded nuclear arsenal – likely H-bomb test Long and intermediate missile tests

Why did North Korea build the bomb?

- Security
 - Particularly security of the regime

International prestige or leverage

Domestic leverage

But motivation and capabilities are interdependent. One will affect the other

Concerns about nuclear technology exports

- Pakistan DPRK nuclear for missile swaps
- Libya export of uranium hexafluride (2002-3)
- Syria construction of plutonium reactor
 - 2000 2007: close to operation
 - Destroyed by Israeli bombing Sept. 2007
- Possible marketing of Li-6 in 2016

These were some of DPRK's most egregious actions

Nuclear Capability	China nuclear official	S. S.Hecker	D. Albright (ISIS)
Plutonium	30-40 kg	20-40 kg	~30 kg
HEU	500 kg	250 - 500 kg	250 – 650 kg
Nuclear devices (sufficient material)	30	25-30	15-32
Fit on SCUD & Nodong	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit on HW-12 & HW- 14 (ICBM) A-bomb	No No more NT	No Need more NT	?

North Korea workshop at Stanford Center at Peking University – Sept. 12, 2017

What now?



The North Korea Challenge for U.S. Presidents

Don't build the bomb Succeeded – but NK built a hedge



Don't build the bomb Failed. ~ 6 nukes by end of term. No successful missile tests



Don't build a nuclear arsenal. Denuclearize Failed. ~ 20 – 25 bombs by end of term Successful missile tests



Trump Challenge: Prevent use of nuclear weapon Denuclearization comes later



The North Korea Challenge for U.S. Presidents

Don't build the bomb Succeeded – but NK built a hedge



Don't build the bomb Failed. ~ 6 nukes by end of term. No successful missile tests



Don't build a nuclear arsenal. Denuclearize Failed. ~ 20 – 25 bombs by end of term Successful missile tests



Trump Challenge: Prevent use of nuclear weapon Denuclearization comes later But war of words has prevented much needed dialogue



"...fire and fury, like the world has never seen." August 8, 2017

"...we will have no choice but to totally destroy North Korea. Rocket man is on a suicide mission"

"The world has received North Korea's latest message loud and clear ... All options are on the table."

"I told Rex ... he is wasting his time trying to negotiate with Little Rocket Man..."

"Save your energy Rex, we'll do what has to be done!"

About foreign minister – "If he echoes thoughts of Little Rocket Man, they won't be around much longer!"

"It's the calm before the storm."

"Sorry, but only one thing will work!" When questioned - "you'll see - soon."

How to prevent a runaway nuclear reaction and meltdown?

How to prevent a runaway nuclear reaction and meltdown?



It's time to lower the control rods



The diplomatic equivalent to control rods



Are there control rods in North Korea?

How will this crisis end?

Peaceful surrender of nukes

Sanctions and Chinese pressure

Regime collapse

- External or internal causes who controls nukes?
- How to render weapons safe? Secure nuclear materials

Military action

• Can escalation to nuclear be prevented?

Regime transformation

- Talks to prevent use of nuclear weapons
- Halt, roll back and long-term denuclearization

How will this crisis end?

Peaceful surrender of nukes

Sanctions and Chinese pressure

Regime collapse

- External or internal causes who controls nukes?
- How to render weapons safe? Secure nuclear materials

Military action

• Can escalation to nuclear be prevented?

Regime transformation

- Talks to prevent use of nuclear weapons
- Halt, roll back and long-term denuclearization

Or rely on deterrence and decay from within